

REDUCING SIGNALLING IN AN H.323 NETWORK BY ARRANGING GATEKEEPERS HIERARCHICALLY

TECHNICAL FIELD

- 5 The patent application applies to the field of Internet telephony, and in particular relates to the distribution of gatekeepers in a H.323 network.

THE PROBLEM AREAS

- 10 Voice telephony (non-IP) is based on an architecture of switches interconnected via fixed lines. A call from a calling party to a called party is routed between the switches based on number analysis. That is, the local switch of the caller analyses the dialled number as to land and trunk code prefixes, and routes the call to the switch
15 serving the called party.

- In modern multimedia telephony, comprising distributed gatekeepers in a H.323 network, there exist no similar mechanism based on number analysis for routing of calls. The technology of multimedia telephony originates from
20 local area network environments, where elaborate routing schemes are regarded as unnecessary, instead relying on a peer-to-peer relationship between the gatekeepers.

- Thus today H.323 requires a full mesh of gatekeeper knowledge before calls can be routed between these (All
25 gatekeepers has to know all gatekeepers for calling to all users), or the use of multicast which has the same applications. Before setting up a call to a non-local user, the originating gatekeeper has to send a Location Request message to all the other gatekeepers for finding the
30 address of the user. This is illustrated in figure 1, where GK1 when receiving a call from User A, must send Location Request to all the other gatekeepers to locate User B.

This situation scales very badly in a large H.323 network, as up to a point, all gatekeepers will spend most of their capacity processing and replying Location Requests from other gatekeepers. Figure 3 (multicast) and figure 4 (unicast) presents the sequence diagrams for locating a user today.

However, hierarchical routing as such is known from some other network systems.

10

The document RFC 1887 (<http://sunsite.auc.dk>) describes the use of prefixes for hierarchical routing in IP ver.6. Routing is performed on a network level.

15 WO 97/02689 describes how data in network layer packets can be added or changed to extend the address field. However, this is strictly speaking not hierarchical routing, as the source router must know the destination.

20 US patent 5,982,869 describes a method for automatic generation of routing tables from network topology. The tables are unique for each switch in a hierarchical network. The point is to optimize by automatic configuration in a hierarchical network for international
25 traffic.

US patent 5,940,369 relates to a method for routing and automatic configuration in ATM networks. The nodes are arranged in a hierarchical fashion. However, this patent
30 relates to specific problems in ATM networks which can be solved by an hierarchical organisation of the nodes.

THE INVENTION

OBJECTS OF THE INVENTION

- 5 An object of the invention is to provide an arrangement in an H.323 network that allow localisation of the parties using less message exchange between the gatekeepers and thus easing the load on each gatekeeper. This results in a faster connection process.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

- 10 The above object are achieved in an arrangement according to the invention, where the gatekeepers are organised hierarchical for routing/user location, as stated in the appended patent claims.

- 15 In an arrangement according to the invention the load of processing the Location Request is spread to fewer gatekeepers, which is a big advantage in a large H.323 network.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

Figure 1 shows a H.323 network as it is structured today.

- 20 Figure 2 shows an hierarchical organisation of gatekeepers in an H.323 network according to the invention, where calls are routed according to an embodiment of the invention using numbering plans.

- 25 Figure 3 shows locating of User-B in Figure 1 using multicast LRQ (prior art).

Figure 4 shows location of User-B using unicast LRQ (prior art).

- 30 Figure 5 shows location of User-B using LRQ in an H.323 network comprising gatekeepers organised according to the invention.

Figure 6 shows location of User-B using numbering plans in an H.323 network organised according to the invention.

DESCRIPTION OF SOLUTION

Reference is made to Fig. 1 which shows a situation in a
5 H.323 network of today. The network comprises a mesh of interconnected gatekeepers GK1 to GK_n. Each gatekeeper is connected to a number of users. Each individual gatekeeper knows the identity of all users that are directly connected to it, but has no knowledge of the users that are connected
10 to the other gatekeepers. However, the gatekeepers know all other gatekeepers.

In the situation depicted an User A, connected to GK1 tries to make a call to User B, who is connected to GK4. In order to route the call to User B, GK1 first has to locate User
15 B. This may be performed either in a multicast process or in a unicast process.

Fig. 3 shows the signalling sequence taking place in a multicast scenario. At top, left, User A issues a set-up message which is received at GK1. To locate User B, GK1
20 transmits a Locate Request (LRQ) message to all the other gatekeepers in the network. In this instance GK4 recognises that the wanted User B is a member of its group of connected users, and answers the LRQ(B) message by transmitting a Locate Confirm (LCF) message back to GK1.
25 GK1 then send a Set-up (B) message to GK4, which is then forwarded to User B.

Fig. 4 shows the alternative steep procedure using an unicast algorithm. Again User A issues a Set-up(B) message which is received at GK1. GK1 now asks the other gatekeepers sequentially if they have an User B connected.

- 5 First the LRQ(B) message is sent to GK2. GK2 answers that User B is not in its domain by issuing a Locate Reject (LRJ) message. GK1 repeats the process with other gatekeepers until one of the gatekeepers answers with a LCF(B) message, stating that User B is one of its connected
10 users. GK1 then sends a Set-up message to GK4, which forwards the message further to User B.

- Fig. 2 gives an example of the new organisation of the gatekeepers according to the invention. In terms of routing, the gatekeepers are organised hierarchically, in
15 "lower" and "higher" gatekeepers. Each gatekeeper knows one higher level gatekeeper (if it is not the "top" node) and a number of lower level gatekeepers (if it isn't the "bottom" node).

- A lower level gatekeeper knows its higher level gatekeeper,
20 and assumes it knows a wider address space than itself. After its own user location algorithm is performed with no success (no address found locally or in lower level gatekeepers), it forwards the call to its higher level gatekeeper. This can be done either with a Location Request
25 directly to this, or sending the SET-UP message directly, if it knows this gatekeeper support routing of the call signalling channel, thus saving two message exchanges.

The higher level gatekeeper now tries to locate the user with its own location algorithm. If the called user is not locally registered, it might send Location Request messages to its lower level gatekeepers (minus the one originating the message) as illustrated in figure 5, or it can have some knowledge of the address spaces of its lower level gatekeepers. In the last case, the Location Request is sent the gatekeeper with the matching address space, or the SET-UP can be sent directly, if it knows this gatekeeper support routing of the call signalling channel.

This scheme could also be used for "hybrid" networks, by letting the gatekeepers know of some peer gatekeepers used in the location algorithm.

REFERENCES

- 15 ITU-T Recommendation H.323 (1996) "Visual Telephone Systems and Equipment for Local Area Networks which provide a non-guaranteed Quality of Service"